

An unmet need: Feeding for critically ill vegans

M Webb, D King and Kelly Sturt

We wish to highlight an area of concern, namely the lack of adequate enteral feeding preparations suitable for critically ill vegan patients. This letter has been prompted following a case in which a vegan patient was admitted to our intensive care unit. His relatives stated that he was a strict vegan and would not wish to be fed with products derived from animals or dairy produce. It is estimated that 0.3% of the UK population are vegan.¹

Early enteral feeding has become routine practice in many intensive care units for critically ill patients. It has a beneficial effect on gut barrier function, increasing mucus secretion, bile, immunoglobulin, gastrointestinal-associated lymphoid tissue and entero-hormones functions. It also improves morbidity and confers a survival benefit.²

Compared to parenteral feeding, the enteral route is more physiological and has fewer infective complications^{3,4}; therefore, parenteral feeding is usually reserved for those where enteral feeding is contraindicated or inadequate.

However, although there are commercially available enteral feeds that are suitable for those who require a halal or kosher diet, there are none available that are suitable for vegans. There is also no commercially available parenteral nutrition that can be used as a substitute either.⁵

The protein and/or fat and/or vitamin D components in all commercially available feeds are derived from animal products.

The nutritional requirement of a patient can be estimated as 25 kcal/kg/day.⁶ Following assessment by the dietician, the only nutritional support we were able to provide this patient was enteral soya milk. Per 100 ml soya milk contains 40 kcal, 1.8 g fat, 2.8 g carbohydrate, 3.0 g proteins, vitamin D

0.75 mg, vitamin B2 0.21, vitamin B12 0.38 and calcium 120 mg.⁷ Therefore, a 70 kg male who requires 1750 kcal/day would require 4375 ml of soya milk/day for their basic calorie requirement, which would be a massive fluid load and still not fulfil all of a patient's nutritional requirements.

We feel that enteral feeding for this population is an area of concern. There is currently inadequate provision and unmet need. We would welcome any suggestions on how a similar patient refusing standard enteral feeds could be managed in future.

References

1. Food Standards Agency. Public attitudes to food issues, <http://tna.europarchive.org/20111116080332/http://www.food.gov.uk/multimedia/pdfs/publicattitudestofood.pdf> (2007, accessed 30 July 2015).
2. Doig GS, Heighes PT, Simpson F, et al. Early enteral nutrition provided within 24 hours of injury or intensive care unit admission significantly reduces mortality in critically ill patients: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Intensive Care Med* 2009; 35: 2018–2027.
3. Harvey SE, Parrott F, Harrison DA, et al. Trial of the route of enteral nutritional support in critically ill adults. *New Engl J Med* 2014; 371: 1673–1684.
4. Preiser J-C, van Zanten ARH, Berger MM, et al. Metabolic and nutritional support of critically ill patients consensus and controversies. *Crit Care* 2015; 19: 35.
5. Fresenius Kabi. Enteral nutrition product information, <http://www.fresenius-kabi.co.uk/4824.htm> (accessed 30 July 2015).
6. Webster NR, and Galley HF. Nutrition in the critically ill patient. *J R Coll Surg Edinb* 2000; 45: 373–379.
7. Alpro Soya Original Fresh. Nutritional information, <http://www.alpro.com/uk/products/drinks/soya-plain/fresh-original#productrange> (accessed 30 July 2015).

Southend University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Westcliff-on-Sea, UK

Corresponding author:

M Webb, Southend University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Prittlewell Chase, Westcliff-on-Sea SS0 0RY, UK.

Email: maylan.webb@doctors.org.uk